

Models of science advice: Advantages and disadvantages

Dr. Jan Marco Müller Head of Directorate Office Coordinator for Science to Policy and Science Diplomacy



IIASA, International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis

Content

- 1. Linking policy and science: some general remarks
- 2. Advantages and disadvantages of different science advisory structures
- 3. An example of how things can go wrong
- 4. Suggestions for improving the dialogue between science and policy





Policy



Design: Jan Marco Müller





S



S







Design: Jan Marco Müller



Design: Jan Marco Müller



THE FRAMEWORK PROGRAMME FOR RESEARCH AND INNOVATION



Policy for Science vs. Science for Policy



5

ITASA



Scientific support to policy vs. science advice to politics





Science advice to government vs. science advice to parliament

WORKSHOP STOA | SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OPTIONS ASSESSMENT



Tuesday 02.06.2015 – **15:30-18:00** PAUL-HENRI SPAAK BUILDING – ROOM **7C050**

REGISTRATION by 26.05.2015 on http://www.stoa.europarl.europa.eu/stoa/

GRAPHENE IN EUROPE From Nobel Prize to technology, innovation and industrial competitiveness





Solicited science advice vs. unsolicited science advice



KONINKLIJKE NEDERLANDSE AKADEMIE VAN WETENSCHAPPEN

POSITION PAPER BIOFUEL AND WOOD AS ENERGY SOURCES Effect on Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Introduction

ILASA

The combustion of oil, coal and gas produces CO2 and other greenhouse gases. Plants, on the other hand,



EFSA Journal 2015;13(7):4208

SCIENTIFIC REPORT OF EFSA

Scientific support for preparing an EU position in the 47th Session of the Codex Committee on Pesticide Residues (CCPR)¹

European Food Safety Authority²

Formal science advice vs. informal science advice





Direct science advice vs. indirect science advice

ENCYCLICAL LETTER

LAUDATO SI'

OF THE HOLY FATHER

FRANCIS

ON CARE FOR OUR COMMON HOME



2. Advantages and disadvantages of different science advisory structures



Types of science advisory structures

EXTERNAL

- a) Academies and learned societies
- b) Not-for-profit research institutes, universities, and related scientific associations
- c) Think tanks and scientific consultancies MANDATED
- d) Scientific advisory committees (permanent or ad-hoc)
- e) State agencies
- INTERNAL
- f) In-house science services
- g) Individual science advisors (e.g. chief scientific advisors)

Types of science advisory structures

Important:

- All approaches are equally valid!
- No approach provides the "golden bullet", therefore in any given science advisory system one can find a mix of approaches
- The choice of the advisory body depends on the problem at hand (e.g. technical vs. philosophical, time frame, confidentiality), and is often influenced by personal relationships
- Science advisory systems depend significantly on the institutional and cultural traditions and structures in both science and policy of the country / organization



a) Academies and learned societies

Description:

Institutions made up of individual academics, members are usually selected based on scientific merit

Advantages:

- Access to top scientists and the scientific mainstream
- Highly reputed/respected (also by the public)
- Stringent procedures and quality control

Disadvantages:

- Somewhat disconnected from the policy world
- Reports are often more difficult to read (scientific jargon)
- Assessments usually take some time (> 1 year)

A typical question you would ask an academy or learned society:

Which are the options for developing a sustainable ocean economy?

Typical output:

Authoritative academy report (some 20-80 pages) <u>Typical timespan:</u> 1 year

b) Not-for-profit research institutes, universities, and related scientific associations

Description:

Public or private research-performing organizations and higher education institutions (or groupings thereof) Advantages:

- Access to experts for a specific problem
- Close involvement of the policy-maker
 Disadvantages:
- Call for proposals needed (by ministry or research agency)
- The results may not reflect the opinion of the wider scientific community (issue of advocacy)
- Reports end with the words: more research is needed

A typical question you would ask a not-for-profit research institute, university, or related scientific association:

Which is the environmental impact of mining the sea floor?

Typical output:

Detailed project report (some 50-200 pages) <u>Typical timespan:</u> 6 months – 4 years



c) Think tanks and scientific consultancies

Description:

Usually private-funded, semi-scientific policy advisory bodies.

Advantages:

- Deep understanding of policy processes and customer demands, deliver quick and on time
- Present in the capital

Disadvantages:

- Often do not stand up to scientific scrutiny
- Biases and hidden agendas (e.g. party-funded think tanks)
- Tend to confirm what you would like to hear



A typical question you would ask a think tank or scientific consultancy:

How will the public and the media react to our National Ocean Economy Strategy?

<u>Typical output:</u> Think tank analysis (some 5-20 pages) or consultancy report (20-80 pages) <u>Typical timespan:</u> 1-4 weeks (think tank), 1-6 months (consultancy)

d) Scientific advisory committees (permanent or ad-hoc)

Description:

Committees of independent scientists mandated to advise government on specific issues, either on a permanent or temporary basis.

Advantages:

- A relatively quick and cheap way to get an opinion from a range of experts
- Can serve as sounding board for ideas
 Disadvantages:
- They meet only once in a while
- Limited resources

A typical question you would ask a scientific advisory committee:

Which are the elements to be considered in a National Ocean Economy Strategy?

<u>Typical output:</u> Committee report (some 10-50 pages) <u>Typical timeline:</u> 3-9 months



e) State agencies

Description:

Legally mandated bodies set up to implement policies (e.g. Collection of data, monitoring, risk assessments, certification, accreditation)

Advantages:

- They need to act upon request of government
- Highly-skilled staff with expert knowledge
- Holders of "official" data and statistics
- Largely trusted by the public (more than government)
 <u>Disadvantages:</u>
- Very technical
- Need to follow (lengthy) procedures



A typical question you would ask a state agency:

Is it safe?

Typical output:

Detailed assessment report (some 50-200 pages), websites and databases

<u>Typical timeline:</u> permanent (monitoring), 1-2 years (reports)



f) In-house science services

Description:

A research-performing body within government (e.g. a research branch within a ministry)

Advantages:

- Ability to share confidential files
- They understand well your needs
- They cover the whole policy cycle
- Will always deliver on time

Disadvantages:

- May not ask whether your question is the right one
- May down-tone inconvenient messages

A typical question you would ask an in-house science service:

We intend to launch this policy, but it's not public yet, can you assess which impacts it is likely going to have?

<u>Typical output:</u> Policy report (some 20-100 pages) <u>Typical timeline:</u> Whatever the need is



g) Individual science advisors (e.g. chief scientific advisors) Description:

An individual science advisor employed by government to advise the Prime Minister or a Minister directly <u>Advantages:</u>

- Single number to call
- Available 24/7, can react quickly (e.g. in a crisis)
- Sits in the same building or a few blocks away
- Can give you informal, confidential advice
 Disadvantages:
- Is not an expert on all matters (but knows whom to ask)
- Limited resources

A typical question you would ask an individual science advisor:

I just got this draft for a National Ocean Economy Strategy from Ministry X, could you have a look and give me an opinion whether this makes sense from a scientific point of view?

<u>Typical output:</u> Briefing (some 2-10 pages), oral advice <u>Typical timespan:</u> NOW – 1 week

That's how the science advisory ecosystem in the European Commission looks like



3. An example of how things can go wrong

The EU Ecodesign Directive



In December 2008 EU Member States adopted the 20-20-20 targets to be reached by 2020:

➢ 20% reduction in CO₂ emissions
 ➢ 20% of the energy consumption coming from renewables
 ➢ 20% increase in energy efficiency

compared to 1990 levels

DIRECTIVE 2009/125/EC OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL

of 21 October 2009

establishing a framework for the setting of ecodesign requirements for energy-related products

Article 16 (2)

The Commission shall, as appropriate, introduce by anticipation:

(a)

implementing measures starting with those products which have been identified as offering a high potential for cost-effective reduction of greenhouse gas emissions, such as heating and water heating equipment, electric motor systems, lighting in both the domestic and tertiary sectors, domestic appliances, office equipment in both the domestic and tertiary sectors, consumer electronics and HVAC (heating ventilating air conditioning) systems.





Work on Preparatory Studies for Eco-Design Requirements of EuPs (II) Lot 17 Vacuum Cleaners TREN/D3/390-2006 Final Report

Report to European Commission

Restricted Commercial ED 04902 Issue 1 February 2009



ConsumerResearchAssociates.

Restricted – Commercial AEA/ED04902/Issue 2 Final Report EuP (II) Lot 17 Vacuum Cleaners

Table of contents

1.1 Product Definitions 8 1.2 Scope of the study 11 1.3 Test Standards and Product Testing Procedures 12 1.4 Existing Policies and Measures (or Policy Instruments) 16 1.5 Progress of CENELEC CLC TX S9X WG 6 20 1.6 Conclusions 20 2 Task 2 Economic and Market Analysis 21 2.1 Generic Economic Data 22 2.3 Market Trends - Background 25 2.4 Market Trends - Current Status 28 3 Task 3 Consumer Behaviour and Local Infrastructure 31 3.1 Real life usage 31 3.2 End-of-Life behaviour 33 3.3 Local Infrastructure 36 4 Technical Analysis of Existing Products 38 4.1 Production Phase 39 4.2 Distribution Phase 39 4.3 Use Phase (product) 39 4.4 Use Phase (product) 39 4.5 End-of-life Phase 40 5 Definition of Base Case <t< th=""><th rowspan="7">1</th><th colspan="3">Task 1 Definition</th></t<>	1	Task 1 Definition		
1.2 Scope of the study 11 1.3 Test Standards and Product Testing Procedures 12 1.4 Existing Policies and Measures (or Policy Instruments) 16 1.5 Progress of CENELEC CLC TX S9X WG 6 20 1.6 Conclusions 20 2 Task 2 Economic and Market Analysis 21 2.1 Generic Economic Data 22 2.3 Market Trends - Background 25 2.4 Market Trends - Current Status 28 3 Task 3 Consumer Behaviour and Local Infrastructure 31 3.1 Real life usage 31 3.2 End-of-Life behaviour 33 3.3 Local infrastructure 36 4 Technical Analysis of Existing Products 38 4.1 Production Phase 39 4.3 Use Phase (product) 39 4.4 Use Phase (system) 40 4.5 End-of-life Phase 40 5 Definition of Base Case 42 5.1 Product specific inputs 42 5.2 Base Case Life Cycle Costs </th <th>1.1</th> <th>Product Definitions</th> <th>8</th>		1.1	Product Definitions	8
1.3 Test Standards and Product Testing Procedures 12 1.4 Existing Policies and Measures (or Policy Instruments) 16 1.5 Progress of CENELEC CLC TX 59X WG 6 20 1.6 Conclusions 20 2 Task 2 Economic and Market Analysis 21 2.1 Generic Economic Data 21 2.3 Market and Stock Data 22 2.3 Market Trends - Background 25 2.4 Market Trends - Current Status 28 3 Task 3 Consumer Behaviour and Local Infrastructure 31 3.1 Real life usage 31 3.2 End-of-Life behaviour 33 3.3 Local infrastructure 36 4 Technical Analysis of Existing Products 38 4.1 Production Phase 38 4.2 Distribution Phase 39 4.3 Use Phase (product) 39 4.4 Use Phase (system) 40 4.5 End-of-life Phase 40 5 Definition of Base Case 42 5.1 Product specific inputs		1.2	Scope of the study	11
1.4 Existing Policies and Measures (or Policy Instruments) 16 1.5 Progress of CENELEC CLC TX 59X WG 6 20 1.6 Conclusions 20 2 Task 2 Economic and Market Analysis 21 2.1 Generic Economic Data 21 2.2 Market Trends - Background 25 2.3 Market Trends - Current Status 28 3 Task 3 Consumer Behaviour and Local Infrastructure 31 3.1 Real life usage 31 3.2 End-of-Life behaviour 33 3.3 Local infrastructure 36 4 Technical Analysis of Existing Products 38 4.1 Production Phase 39 4.2 Distribution Phase 39 4.3 Use Phase (product) 39 4.4 Use Phase (product) 40 4.5 End-of-life Phase 40 5 Definition of Base Case 42 5.1 Product specific inputs 42 5.2 Base Case Environmental Impact Assessment 44 5.3 Base Case Environmental Impact		1.3	Test Standards and Product Testing Procedures	12
1.5 Progress of CENELEC CLC TX 59X WG 6 20 1.6 Conclusions 20 2 Task 2 Economic and Market Analysis 21 2.1 Generic Economic Data 21 2.2 Market and Stock Data 22 2.3 Market Trends - Background 25 2.4 Market Trends - Current Status 28 3 Task 3 Consumer Behaviour and Local Infrastructure 31 3.1 Real life usage 31 3.2 End-of-Life behaviour 33 3.3 Local Infrastructure 38 4.1 Production Phase 38 4.2 Distribution Phase 39 4.3 Use Phase (product) 39 4.4 Use Phase (product) 39 4.5 End-of-Life Phase 40 4.5 Definition of Base Case 42 5.1 Product specific inputs 42 5.2 Base Case Environmental Impact Assessment 44 5.3 Base Case Environmental Impact Assessment 56 5.4 EU Totals 56 5.5 EU Total System Impact 57 6 Technical Analysis BAT 58 6.1 State of the art at component level (1.4	Existing Policies and Measures (or Policy Instruments)	16
1.6 Conclusions 20 2 Task 2 Economic and Market Analysis 21 2.1 Generic Economic Data 21 2.2 Market and Stock Data 22 2.3 Market Trends - Background 25 2.4 Market Trends - Current Status 28 3 Task 3 Consumer Behaviour and Local Infrastructure 31 3.1 Real life usage 31 3.2 End-of-Life behaviour 33 3.3 Local infrastructure 36 4 Technical Analysis of Existing Products 38 4.1 Production Phase 39 4.3 Use Phase (product) 39 4.4 Use Phase (system) 40 4.5 End-of-life Phase 40 5 Definition of Base Case 42 5.1 Product specific inputs 42 5.2 Base Case Environmental Impact Assessment 44 5.3 Base Case Environmental Impact Assessment 54 5.4 EU Totals 56 5.5 EU Total System Impact 57 6 Technical Analysis BAT 58 6.1 State of the att of best existing product technology outside the EU) 70 6.3 </td <td>1.5</td> <td>Progress of CENELEC CLC TX 59X WG 6</td> <td>20</td>		1.5	Progress of CENELEC CLC TX 59X WG 6	20
2 Task 2 Economic and Market Analysis 21 2.1 Generic Economic Data 21 2.2 Market and Stock Data 22 2.3 Market Trends - Background 25 2.4 Market Trends - Current Status 28 3 Task 3 Consumer Behaviour and Local Infrastructure 31 3.1 Real life usage 31 3.2 End-of-Life behaviour 33 3.3 Local Infrastructure 36 4 Technical Analysis of Existing Products 38 4.1 Production Phase 39 4.3 Use Phase (product) 39 4.4 Use Phase (system) 40 4.5 End-of-life Phase 40 5 Definition of Base Case 42 5.1 Product specific inputs 42 5.2 Base Case Environmental Impact Assessment 44 5.3 Base Case Life Cycle Costs 56 5.4 EU Totals 56 5.5 EU Total System Impact 57 6 Technical Analysis BAT 58 <td< td=""><td>1.6</td><td>Conclusions</td><td>20</td></td<>		1.6	Conclusions	20
2.1 Generic Economic Data 21 2.2 Market and Stock Data 22 2.3 Market Trends - Background 25 2.4 Market Trends - Current Status 28 3 Task 3 Consumer Behaviour and Local Infrastructure 31 3.1 Real life usage 31 3.2 End-of-Life behaviour 33 3.3 Local infrastructure 36 4 Technical Analysis of Existing Products 38 4.1 Production Phase 39 4.3 Use Phase (product) 39 4.4 Use Phase (system) 40 4.5 End-of-life Phase 40 5 Definition of Base Case 42 5.1 Product specific inputs 42 5.2 Base Case Environmental Impact Assessment 44 5.3 Base Case Life Cycle Costs 56 5.4 EU Totals 56 5.5 EU Total System Impact 57 6 Technical Analysis BAT 58 6.1 State of the art of best existing product technology outside the EU) 7	2	Task 2 Economic and Market Analysis		
2.2 Market and Stock Data 22 2.3 Market Trends - Background 25 2.4 Market Trends - Current Status 28 3 Task 3 Consumer Behaviour and Local Infrastructure 31 3.1 Real life usage 31 3.2 End-of-Life behaviour 33 3.3 Local infrastructure 36 4 Technical Analysis of Existing Products 38 4.1 Production Phase 39 4.2 Distribution Phase 39 4.3 Use Phase (product) 39 4.4 Use Phase (system) 40 5 Definition of Base Case 42 5.1 Product specific inputs 42 5.2 Base Case Environmental Impact Assessment 44 5.3 Base Case Life Cycle Costs 56 5.4 EU Total 57 6 Technical Analysis BAT 58 6.1 State of the art of best existing product technology outside the EU) 70 6.3 State of the art of best existing product technology outside the EU) 70 6.4 Summary 70 7 Task 7 Improvement Potential 71 7.1 Background 71		2.1	Generic Economic Data	21
2.3 Market Trends - Background 25 2.4 Market Trends - Current Status 28 3 Task 3 Consumer Behaviour and Local Infrastructure 31 3.1 Real life usage 31 3.2 End-of-Life behaviour 33 3.3 Local infrastructure 36 4 Technical Analysis of Existing Products 38 4.1 Production Phase 39 4.2 Distribution Phase 39 4.3 Use Phase (product) 39 4.4 Use Phase (system) 40 5 Definition of Base Case 42 5.1 Product specific inputs 42 5.2 Base Case Environmental Impact Assessment 44 5.3 Base Case Life Cycle Costs 56 5.4 EU Totals 56 5.5 EU Totals 57 6 Technical Analysis BAT 58 6.1 State of the art of best existing product technology outside the EU) 70 6.3 State of the art of best existing product technology outside the EU) 70 6.4 Summary 70 7 Task 7 Improvement Potential 71 7.1 Background 71 7.2 <td>2.2</td> <td>Market and Stock Data</td> <td>22</td>		2.2	Market and Stock Data	22
2.4 Market Trends - Current Status 28 3 Task 3 Consumer Behaviour and Local Infrastructure 31 3.1 Real life usage 31 3.2 End-of-Life behaviour 33 3.3 Local infrastructure 36 4 Technical Analysis of Existing Products 38 4.1 Production Phase 39 4.2 Distribution Phase 39 4.3 Use Phase (product) 39 4.4 Use Phase (system) 40 4.5 End-of-life Phase 40 5 Definition of Base Case 42 5.1 Product specific inputs 42 5.2 Base Case Environmental Impact Assessment 44 5.3 Base Case Life Cycle Costs 56 5.4 EU Totals 56 5.5 EU Total System Impact 57 6 Technical Analysis BAT 58 6.1 State of the art at component level (prototype, test and field trial level) 70 6.3 State of the art of best existing product technology outside the EU 70 6.4 Summary 70 7 Task 7 Improvement Potential 71 7.1 Background 71 <		2.3	Market Trends - Background	25
3 Task 3 Consumer Behaviour and Local Infrastructure 31 3.1 Real life usage 31 3.2 End-of-Life behaviour 33 3.3 Local infrastructure 36 4 Technical Analysis of Existing Products 38 4.1 Production Phase 39 4.3 Use Phase (product) 39 4.4 Use Phase (system) 40 4.5 End-of-life Phase 40 5 Definition of Base Case 42 5.1 Product specific inputs 42 5.2 Base Case Environmental Impact Assessment 44 5.3 Base Case Life Cycle Costs 56 5.4 EU Totals 56 5.5 EU Total System Impact 57 6 Technical Analysis BAT 58 6.1 State of the art at component level (prototype, test and field trial level) 70 6.3 State of the art of obest existing product technology outside the EU) 70 6.4 Summary 70 7 Task 7 Improvement Potential 71 7.1 Backgr		2.4	Market Trends – Current Status	28
3.1 Real life usage 31 3.2 End-of-Life behaviour 33 3.3 Local infrastructure 36 4 Technical Analysis of Existing Products 38 4.1 Production Phase 38 4.2 Distribution Phase 39 4.3 Use Phase (product) 39 4.4 Use Phase (system) 40 4.5 End-of-life Phase 40 5 Definition of Base Case 42 5.1 Product specific inputs 42 5.2 Base Case Environmental Impact Assessment 44 5.3 Base Case Life Cycle Costs 56 5.4 EU Totals 56 5.5 EU Total System Impact 57 6 Technical Analysis BAT 58 6.1 State of the art at component level (prototype, test and field trial level) 70 6.3 State of the art of obst existing product technology outside the EU) 70 6.4 Summary 70 7 Task 7 Improvement Potential 71 7.1 Background 71 7.2 Options 72	3	Task 3 Consumer Behaviour and Local Infrastructure		
3.2 End-of-Life behaviour 33 3.3 Local infrastructure 36 4 Technical Analysis of Existing Products 38 4.1 Production Phase 38 4.2 Distribution Phase 39 4.3 Use Phase (product) 39 4.4 Use Phase (system) 40 4.5 End-of-life Phase 40 5 Definition of Base Case 42 5.1 Product specific inputs 42 5.2 Base Case Environmental Impact Assessment 44 5.3 Base Case Life Cycle Costs 56 5.4 EU Totals 56 5.5 EU Total System Impact 57 6 Technical Analysis BAT 58 6.1 State of the art at component level (prototype, test and field trial level) 70 6.3 State of the art of obst existing product technology outside the EU) 70 6.4 Summary 70 7 Task 7 Improvement Potential 71 7.1 Background 71 7.2 Options 72		3.1	Real life usage	31
3.3 Local infrastructure 36 4 Technical Analysis of Existing Products 38 4.1 Production Phase 38 4.2 Distribution Phase 39 4.3 Use Phase (product) 39 4.4 Use Phase (system) 40 4.5 End-of-life Phase 40 5 Definition of Base Case 42 5.1 Product specific inputs 42 5.2 Base Case Environmental Impact Assessment 44 5.3 Base Case Life Cycle Costs 56 5.4 EU Totals 56 5.5 EU Totals 57 6 Technical Analysis BAT 58 6.1 State of the art at component level (prototype, test and field trial level) 70 6.3 State of the art of best existing product technology outside the EU) 70 6.4 Summary 70 7 Task 7 Improvement Potential 71 7.1 Background 71 7.2 Options 72		3.2	End-of-Life behaviour	33
4 Technical Analysis of Existing Products 38 4.1 Production Phase 38 4.2 Distribution Phase 39 4.3 Use Phase (product) 39 4.4 Use Phase (system) 40 4.5 End-of-life Phase 40 5 Definition of Base Case 42 5.1 Product specific inputs 42 5.2 Base Case Environmental Impact Assessment 44 5.3 Base Case Life Cycle Costs 56 5.4 EU Totals 56 5.5 EU Totals 57 6 Technical Analysis BAT 58 6.1 State-of-the-Art in Applied Research for the Product 58 6.2 State of the art of cost existing product technology outside the EU) 70 6.3 State of the art of best existing product technology outside the EU) 70 7.4 Background 71 7.2 Options 72		3.3	Local infrastructure	36
4.1 Production Phase 38 4.2 Distribution Phase 39 4.3 Use Phase (product) 39 4.4 Use Phase (system) 40 4.5 End-of-life Phase 40 5 Definition of Base Case 42 5.1 Product specific inputs 42 5.2 Base Case Environmental Impact Assessment 44 5.3 Base Case Life Cycle Costs 56 5.4 EU Totals 56 5.5 EU Totals 57 6 Technical Analysis BAT 58 6.1 State-of-the-Art in Applied Research for the Product 58 6.2 State of the art of component level (prototype, test and field trial level) 70 6.3 State of the art of best existing product technology outside the EU) 70 6.4 Summary 70 7 Task 7 Improvement Potential 71 7.1 Background 71 7.2 Options 72	4	Technical Analysis of Existing Products		
4.2 Distribution Phase 39 4.3 Use Phase (product) 39 4.4 Use Phase (system) 40 4.5 End-of-life Phase 40 5 Definition of Base Case 42 5.1 Product specific inputs 42 5.2 Base Case Environmental Impact Assessment 44 5.3 Base Case Life Cycle Costs 56 5.4 EU Totals 56 5.5 EU Totals 57 6 Technical Analysis BAT 58 6.1 State-of-the-Art in Applied Research for the Product 58 6.2 State of the art of obst existing product technology outside the EU) 70 6.3 State of the art of best existing product technology outside the EU) 70 6.4 Summary 70 7 Task 7 Improvement Potential 71 7.1 Background 71 7.2 Options 72		4.1	Production Phase	38
4.3 Use Phase (product) 39 4.4 Use Phase (system) 40 4.5 End-of-life Phase 40 5 Definition of Base Case 42 5.1 Product specific inputs 42 5.2 Base Case Environmental Impact Assessment 44 5.3 Base Case Life Cycle Costs 56 5.4 EU Totals 56 5.5 EU Totals System Impact 57 6 Technical Analysis BAT 58 6.1 State-of-the-Art in Applied Research for the Product 58 6.2 State of the art of component level (prototype, test and field trial level) 70 6.3 State of the art of best existing product technology outside the EU) 70 6.4 Summary 70 7 Task 7 Improvement Potential 71 7.1 Background 71 7.2 Options 72		4.2	Distribution Phase	39
4.4 Use Phase (system) 40 4.5 End-of-life Phase 40 5 Definition of Base Case 42 5.1 Product specific inputs 42 5.2 Base Case Environmental Impact Assessment 44 5.3 Base Case Life Cycle Costs 56 5.4 EU Totals 56 5.5 EU Total System Impact 57 6 Technical Analysis BAT 58 6.1 State-of-the-Art in Applied Research for the Product 58 6.2 State of the art at component level (prototype, test and field trial level) 70 6.3 State of the art obst existing product technology outside the EU) 70 6.4 Summary 70 7 Task 7 Improvement Potential 71 7.1 Background 71 7.2 Options 72		4.3	Use Phase (product)	39
4.5 End-of-life Phase 40 5 Definition of Base Case 42 5.1 Product specific inputs 42 5.2 Base Case Environmental Impact Assessment 44 5.3 Base Case Life Cycle Costs 56 5.4 EU Totals 56 5.5 EU Total System Impact 57 6 Technical Analysis BAT 58 6.1 State of the art at component level (prototype, test and field trial level) 70 6.3 State of the art obst existing product technology outside the EU) 70 6.4 Summary 70 7 Task 7 Improvement Potential 71 7.1 Background 71 7.2 Options 72		4.4	Use Phase (system)	40
5 Definition of Base Case 42 5.1 Product specific inputs 42 5.2 Base Case Environmental Impact Assessment 44 5.3 Base Case Life Cycle Costs 56 5.4 EU Totals 56 5.5 EU Total System Impact 57 6 Technical Analysis BAT 58 6.1 State-of-the-Art in Applied Research for the Product 58 6.2 State of the art of best existing product technology outside the EU) 70 6.3 State of the art of best existing product technology outside the EU) 70 7 Task 7 Improvement Potential 71 7.1 Background 71 7.2 Options 72		4.5	End-of-life Phase	40
5.1 Product specific inputs 42 5.2 Base Case Environmental Impact Assessment 44 5.3 Base Case Life Cycle Costs 56 5.4 EU Totals 56 5.5 EU Total System Impact 57 6 Technical Analysis BAT 58 6.1 State-of-the-Art in Applied Research for the Product 58 6.2 State of the art at component level (prototype, test and field trial level) 70 6.3 State of the art of best existing product technology outside the EU) 70 6.4 Summary 70 7 Task 7 Improvement Potential 71 7.1 Background 71 7.2 Options 72	5	Definition of Base Case		
5.2 Base Case Environmental Impact Assessment 44 5.3 Base Case Life Cycle Costs 56 5.4 EU Totals 56 5.5 EU Total System Impact 57 6 Technical Analysis BAT 58 6.1 State-of-the-Art in Applied Research for the Product 58 6.2 State of the art at component level (prototype, test and field trial level) 70 6.3 State of the art of best existing product technology outside the EU) 70 6.4 Summary 70 7 Task 7 Improvement Potential 71 7.1 Background 71 7.2 Options 72		5.1	Product specific inputs	42
5.3 Base Case Life Cycle Costs 56 5.4 EU Totals 56 5.5 EU Total System Impact 57 6 Technical Analysis BAT 58 6.1 State-of-the-Art in Applied Research for the Product 58 6.2 State of the art at component level (prototype, test and field trial level) 70 6.3 State of the art of best existing product technology outside the EU) 70 6.4 Summary 70 7 Task 7 Improvement Potential 71 7.1 Background 71 7.2 Options 72		5.2	Base Case Environmental Impact Assessment	44
5.4 EU Totals 56 5.5 EU Total System Impact 57 6 Technical Analysis BAT 58 6.1 State-of-the-Art in Applied Research for the Product 58 6.2 State of the art at component level (prototype, test and field trial level) 70 6.3 State of the art of best existing product technology outside the EU) 70 6.4 Summary 70 7 Task 7 Improvement Potential 71 7.1 Background 71 7.2 Options 72		5.3	Base Case Life Cycle Costs	56
5.5 EU Total System Impact 57 6 Technical Analysis BAT 58 6.1 State-of-the-Art in Applied Research for the Product 58 6.2 State of the art at component level (prototype, test and field trial level) 70 6.3 State of the art of best existing product technology outside the EU) 70 6.4 Summary 70 7 Task 7 Improvement Potential 71 7.1 Background 71 7.2 Options 72		5.4	EU Totals	56
6 Technical Analysis BAT 58 6.1 State-of-the-Art in Applied Research for the Product 58 6.2 State of the art at component level (prototype, test and field trial level) 70 6.3 State of the art of best existing product technology outside the EU) 70 6.4 Summary 70 7 Task 7 Improvement Potential 71 7.1 Background 71 7.2 Options 72		5.5	EU Total System Impact	57
6.1 State-of-the-Art in Applied Research for the Product 58 6.2 State of the art at component level (prototype, test and field trial level) 70 6.3 State of the art of best existing product technology outside the EU) 70 6.4 Summary 70 7 Task 7 Improvement Potential 71 7.1 Background 71 7.2 Options 72	6	Tec	hnical Analysis BAT	58
6.2 State of the art at component level (prototype, test and field trial level) 70 6.3 State of the art of best existing product technology outside the EU) 70 6.4 Summary 70 7 Task 7 Improvement Potential 71 7.1 Background 71 7.2 Options 72		6.1	State-of-the-Art in Applied Research for the Product	58
6.3 State of the art of best existing product technology outside the EU) 70 6.4 Summary 70 7 Task 7 Improvement Potential 71 7.1 Background 71 7.2 Options 72		6.2	State of the art at component level (prototype, test and field trial level)	70
6.4 Summary 70 7 Task 7 Improvement Potential 71 7.1 Background 71 7.2 Options 72		6.3	State of the art of best existing product technology outside the EU)	70
7 Task 7 Improvement Potential 71 7.1 Background 71 7.2 Options 72 AEA Energy & Environment		6.4	Summary	70
7.1 Background 71 7.2 Options 72 AEA Energy & Environment	7	Task 7 Improvement Potential		71
7.2 Options 72 AEA Energy & Environment 5		7.1	Background	71
AEA Energy & Environment 5		7.2	Options	72
The second s	AFA	A Energ	v & Environment	5



EN

Official Journal of the European Union

13.7.2013

COMMISSION REGULATION (EU) No 666/2013

of 8 July 2013

implementing Directive 2009/125/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council with regard to ecodesign requirements for vacuum cleaners

After light bulbs and TVs... now EU officials BAN our vacuum cleaners

THEY have forced us to change our light bulbs and banned our power-hungry plasma TVs.

Opinion: This vacuum cleaner ban is a reason to leave EU

By Derby Telegraph | Posted: August 29, 2014



PUB



ILASA

Entortainment

Livino

By Jim Roulden, CNN (1) Updated 12:51 PM ET, Mon September 1, 2014

World

Politics Tech Health

After vacuum cleaner ban, the EU targets hairdryers, kettles and even smartphones

The great vacuum cleaner stampede: Panic buying hits shops as deadline looms for Brussels ban on high-powered machines

- Shoppers are panic-buying powerful vacuum cleaners to beat European Union ban that comes into force next week
- Last night, retailers reported that sales had soared by nearly 50 per cent, with many running out of powerful models
- Brussels diktat will prohibit companies from manufacturing or importing vacuum cleaners that are above 1,600 watts
- · EU is now considering measures to ban most powerful hairdryers, lawn mowers and electric kettles, it was revealed

Environment Shoppers rush to buy extra-strength vacuum cleaners before EU ban comes into force

'Which?' accused of encouraging the stampede by panicking its readers

All Of Europe Is Panic-Buying High-Powered Vacuum **Cleaners Before They Become Illegal**

News · UK News · European Union

Vacuum cleaner ban: Britons clean out stores ahead EU power limit on dust-busting machines

17:42, 28 AUG 2014 UPDATED 20:02, 28 AUG 2014 BY RURI SAVID

Panic buying has swept through Britain after consumer watchdog Which? warned Brits to "act quickly" if they wanted an appliance that is 1,600-2,200 watts

ALL THE DAY'S HIGHLIGHT NONE OF ITS DISTRACTIO

Buy a powerful vacuum cleaner before they are BANNED: New EU rules 'will outlaw best models in 10 days because they're not eco-friendly'

Home > Analysis > Policy

Vacuum cleaner manufacturers urge Cameron to back EU ban

EXCLUSIVE: Chief executive of Miele tells Prime Minister that the Ecodesign Directive provides a welcome boost to innovation

By Jessica Shankleman | 06 Oct 2014 | 0 Comments

rint

Comment

Sir James Dyson backs EU directive on vacuum power rated above 1,600 watts

By Western Daily Press | Posted: September 01, 2014

By Josie Clarke

Such stories feed the agenda of populists

Who really runs this country?

75% of our laws are now made in Brussels.

TAKE BACK CONTROL OF OUR COUNTRY

In other words: One of the reasons for Brexit was the ecological design of vaccuum cleaners

Britain Votes to Leave

U.K. votes to quit European Union after more than four decades

Image: Bloomberg

Lessons learnt from the ecodesign case

- The science-policy interface is messy
- Even the best science advisory system will not save you from political trouble
- Scientists need to understand the dynamics of politics
- Political decisions need to be informed by science, but cannot be "outsourced" to scientists
- Behavioral science and engagement with the public are needed

4. Suggestions for improving the dialogue between science and policy

Some practical tips and tricks to enhance the science-policy interface

We need to use a language everybody understands!

Photo credits: Background Stadium Thomas Faivre-Duboz, forest taken from H.-D. Viktor Boehm (globalcarbonproject), Photo montage by Alan Belward, Joint Research Centre

Narratives are very powerful

Mauna Loa Monthly Mean Carbon Dioxide (NOAA ESRL GMD Carbon Cycle)

Emotions matter in politics

The elevator pitch: You have 5 minutes to get the message across

Timing is extremely important

Communicate uncertainty – and what it means

Created by Dr. Ryan Maue, WeatherBELL Analytics. Refresh Image every 30-minutes for most recent data

Be aware of biases

Scientists need to be humble – politicians don't like to be told what to do

Show empathy for public concerns and ethical issues

Engage with the public (there is no point in preaching to the converted)

Equip the politician with the arguments to defend the evidence in public

muellerj@iiasa.ac.at / Twitter: @JanMarcoScience

